

Significant Promotion Effect of Mo Additive on a Novel Ce–Zr Mixed Oxide Catalyst for the Selective Catalytic Reduction of NO_x with NH₃


Shipeng Ding, Fudong Liu,^{*,†} Xiaoyan Shi, Kuo Liu, Zhihua Lian, Lijuan Xie, and Hong He^{*}

State Key Joint Laboratory of Environment Simulation and Pollution Control, Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 18 Shuangqing Road, Haidian District, Beijing 100085, P. R. China

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A novel Mo-promoted Ce–Zr mixed oxide catalyst prepared by a homogeneous precipitation method was used for the selective catalytic reduction (SCR) of NO_x with NH₃. The optimal catalyst showed high NH₃-SCR activity, SO₂/H₂O durability, and thermal stability under test conditions. The addition of Mo inhibited growth of the CeO₂ particle size, improved the redox ability, and increased the amount of surface acidity, especially the Lewis acidity, all of which were favorable for the excellent NH₃-SCR performance. It is believed that the catalyst is promising for the removal of NO_x from diesel engine exhaust.

KEYWORDS: selective catalytic reduction, nitrogen oxides, diesel engine exhaust, thermal stability, Ce–Zr mixed oxide



1. INTRODUCTION

Nitrogen oxides (NO_x) emitted from stationary and mobile sources have been major atmospheric pollutants. The selective catalytic reduction (SCR) of NO_x with NH₃ or urea has been extensively studied as one of the most effective methods to remove NO_x, and the most widely used commercial catalyst is V₂O₅–WO₃/TiO₂.^{1–4} However, there are still some inevitable disadvantages with this catalyst system, such as the toxicity of vanadium pentoxide, narrow operation temperature window, and high conversion of SO₂ to SO₃ at high temperature.⁵ Therefore, it is desirable to develop a novel NH₃-SCR catalyst that can substitute for the conventional V-based catalyst in recent years.

Cerium oxide (CeO₂) as one of the most abundant rare-earth oxides has attracted much interest over the past decades in many catalytic reactions such as carbon monoxide oxidation, water–gas shift, nitric oxide reduction, and reforming reactions.^{6–8} However, pure CeO₂ shows poor thermal stability and is susceptible sintering at high temperature.⁹ It has been reported that the addition of ZrO₂ to CeO₂ leads to improvement in the oxygen storage capacity and thermal stability.^{10,11} Ce–Zr mixed oxide combines the highly refractory property of ZrO₂ with the oxygen storage capacity of CeO₂, which can be used as a catalyst or support for NH₃-SCR of NO_x. It was found that nickel and sulfate modification increased the strength of Lewis acid sites and enhanced the NH₃ adsorption capacity, all of which were beneficial for improvement of the activity and selectivity of Ce–Zr catalyst.¹² It was also believed that NiO on the CeO₂ nanorods played an important role for enhancement of the NH₃-SCR activity because of the higher concentration of Ce³⁺, larger amount of active O_{vac}, lower amount of energy required for oxygen vacancy

distortion, and strong interaction between NO and NH₃.¹³ The experimental and kinetic model results indicated that the MnO_x(0.6)/Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ catalyst showed high NO conversion and N₂ selectivity at low temperatures, and the apparent activation energy of the NH₃-SCR reaction on MnO_x(0.6)/Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ (18 kJ/mol) was lower than that on MnO_x/TiO₂ (38 kJ/mol).¹⁴ A WO₃/CeO₂–ZrO₂ catalyst annealed in air at 800 °C for 1 h still showed high NH₃-SCR activity.¹⁵ The three-dimensional ordered macroporous (3DOM) Ce_{0.75}Zr_{0.25}M_{0.05}O_{2–δ} (M = Fe, Mn, Co) synthesized by a colloidal template method also exhibited good activity for NH₃-SCR of NO_x; the characterization results showed that the dopants were effectively doped into the Ce–Zr oxide solid solution and a strong synergistic effect existed between the dopants and Ce–Zr oxide, all of which were beneficial for improvement of the NH₃-SCR activity.¹⁶ It was also found that the morphology of CeZrO_x had a remarkable effect on the performance of the MnO_x/CeO₂–ZrO₂ catalyst for the NH₃-SCR reaction, and MnO_x/CeO₂–ZrO₂ nanorods showed activity superior to that of nanotubes and nanopolyhedra.¹⁷ Ce_{0.75}Zr_{0.25}O₂–PO₄^{3–} catalyst prepared by impregnating phosphates on Ce_{0.75}Zr_{0.25}O₂ still presented high SCR activity at 300–400 °C after hydrothermal aging at 760 °C for 48 h, which might result from the fact that phosphates improved NH₃ adsorption and suppressed the unselective oxidation of NH₃ at high temperatures.¹⁸ Although catalysts containing CeO₂–ZrO₂ oxide, such as WO₃/CeO₂–ZrO₂,¹⁵ MnO_x/Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂,¹⁴ Ce_{0.75}Zr_{0.25}O₂–PO₄^{3–} and Ni-modified Ce–Zr

Received: January 21, 2015

Accepted: April 20, 2015

Published: April 20, 2015

oxide,¹⁸ have been used toward NH₃-SCR of NO in recent years, the promotional effect of Mo addition on the NH₃-SCR activity over CeO₂-ZrO₂ and the thermal stability of CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x catalyst have never been investigated in recent publications. In addition, MoO₃ is widely used as a stabilizer and a promoter to improve the activity, mechanical, and structural properties of a V₂O₅/TiO₂ catalyst for NH₃-SCR process.^{19–21} It was also found that the addition of MoO₃ promoted the adsorption and activation of NH₃, which was conducive to improvement of the NH₃-SCR activity of a MoO₃-doped Ce/TiO₂ catalyst.²²

In order to take full advantage of the high NH₃-SCR activity and thermal stability of Ce–Zr mixed oxide and promoting effect of MoO₃, in the present work, a series of novel Mo-promoted Ce–Zr catalysts was prepared by a homogeneous precipitation method and were applied to NH₃-SCR of NO_x. The catalyst showed high SCR activity, SO₂/H₂O durability, and thermal stability under test conditions. The structure, redox ability, and acidity of the catalyst were characterized using different techniques. The promotional effect of Mo species and the NH₃-SCR reaction mechanism over the catalyst were fully discussed. The catalyst is quite promising for NO_x emission control from diesel engine exhaust.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Catalyst Preparation and Activity Test. The catalysts were prepared by a novel and facile homogeneous precipitation method using urea as the precipitator.²³ All chemicals used were of analytical grade. The aqueous solution of Ce(NO₃)₃·6H₂O, Zr(NO₃)₄·5H₂O, and (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄·4H₂O was mixed with the required molar ratio. An excessive urea aqueous solution was then added to the mixed solution. The solution was heated to 90 °C and continuously stirred for 12 h. The pH variation of the mixed solution during the homogeneous precipitation process is shown in Figure S1 in the Supporting Information (SI). The initial pH of the mixed solution, which was measured by a pH meter (Sartorius PB-10), was about 1.0 because of hydrolysis of the precursors. However, as the preparation time increased from 1.0 to 4.0 h, a substantial amount of precipitate was formed and the pH was greatly increased from 1.0 to 7.0 probably because of the decomposition of urea. After filtration and washing with deionized water, the resulting precipitate was dried at 100 °C overnight and subsequently calcined at 500 °C for 3 h in air. The bulk molar ratios of Ce:Mo:Zr in the CeMo_aZr_bO_x series of catalysts were analyzed by an inductively coupled plasma instrument (OPTMIA 2000DV). As shown in Table S1 in the SI, the actual proportions of Ce:Mo:Zr in the bulk were not consistent with the designed ones, indicating that not all of the ions precipitated in the process of homogeneous precipitation. In addition, the distribution of Zr, Ce, and Mo derived from scanning electron microscopy–energy-dispersive X-ray (SEM–EDX; Hitachi S-3000N) over CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x catalyst prepared by homogeneous precipitation was presented in Figure S2 in the SI. Zr exhibited a slightly poor dispersion; however, the Ce and Mo species were homogeneously dispersed on the surface of the catalyst. The catalysts were also calcined at desired temperatures (500, 550, 600, 650, 700, and 750 °C) for 8 h to test the thermal stability. In addition, the CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x catalysts were also hydrothermally aged at 700 and 760 °C for 48 h to evaluate the hydrothermal stability. The calcined samples were crushed and sieved to 40–60 mesh for the activity test. The catalysts were denoted as CeMo_aZr_bO_x, where *a* (*a* = 0, 0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5) represented the molar ratio of Mo:Ce and *b* (*b* = 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 4.0) indicated the molar ratio of Zr:Ce. The CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x catalysts calcined at different temperatures for 8 h were denoted as CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_{x-t}, where *t* represented the calcination temperature in degrees Celsius. For comparison, a conventional V₂O₅-WO₃/TiO₂ catalyst with 3 wt % (or 1 wt %) V₂O₅ and 10 wt % WO₃ was also prepared using the conventional impregnation method. NH₄VO₃ and (NH₄)₁₀W₁₂O₄₁ were used as sources of V and W,

respectively. After impregnation, the excess H₂O was removed in a rotary evaporator at 60 °C. The sample was dried at 100 °C overnight and subsequently calcined at desired temperatures for 8 h in air.

The NH₃-SCR activity tests were carried out in a fixed-bed quartz tube reactor at atmospheric pressure. The weights of the catalysts employed were 1.10 g of CeZr₂O_x, 0.95 g of CeMo_{0.1}Zr₂O_x, 0.75 g of CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x, 0.67 g of CeMo_{1.0}Zr₂O_x, and 0.56 g of CeMo_{1.5}Zr₂O_x to maintain the gas hourly space velocity (GHSV) at 50000 h⁻¹ over various catalysts. The reaction gas contained 500 ppm of NO (or [NO] = 250 ppm; [NO₂] = 250 ppm), 500 ppm of NH₃, 5 vol % O₂, N₂ balance, and 500 mL/min flow rate. Furthermore, 5 vol % H₂O or 100 ppm of SO₂ (or 500 ppm of SO₂) was introduced to the reaction gas to test the poisoning effect of H₂O/SO₂ on NO_x conversion over the CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x catalyst. The effluent gas was continuously analyzed by a Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer (Nicolet Nexus 670) equipped with a heated, low-volume multiple-path gas cell (2 m). The FTIR spectra were collected after 1 h when the SCR reaction reached a steady state. NO_x conversion and N₂ selectivity were calculated as follows:

$$\text{NO}_x \text{ conversion} = \left(1 - \frac{[\text{NO}]_{\text{out}} + [\text{NO}_2]_{\text{out}}}{[\text{NO}]_{\text{in}} + [\text{NO}_2]_{\text{in}}} \right) \times 100\%$$

$$\text{N}_2 \text{ selectivity} = \frac{[\text{NO}]_{\text{in}} + [\text{NH}_3]_{\text{in}} - [\text{NO}_2]_{\text{out}} - 2[\text{N}_2\text{O}]_{\text{out}}}{[\text{NO}]_{\text{in}} + [\text{NH}_3]_{\text{in}}} \times 100\%$$

2.2. Characterization. The N₂ adsorption–desorption isotherms over catalysts were obtained at 77 K using a Quantachrome Autosorb-1C instrument. Prior to N₂ physisorption, all samples were degassed in a vacuum at 300 °C for 5 h. The surface areas were determined by a Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) equation in the 0.05–0.35 partial pressure range. Pore volumes were determined by the Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) method from the desorption branches of the isotherms.

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements of the catalysts were carried out on a computerized PANalytical X'Pert Pro diffractometer with Cu Kα (λ = 0.15406 nm) radiation. The data of 2θ from 20 to 80° were collected at 8°/min with a step size of 0.07°.

Visible Raman spectra of the catalysts were collected at room temperature on a Spex 1877 D Triplemate spectrograph with a spectral resolution of 2 cm⁻¹. A 532 nm diode-pumped solid-state semiconductor laser was used as the excitation source with a power output of 30 mW. The time for recording each spectrum was 10 s.

The H₂-TPR (temperature-programmed reduction) experiments were carried out on a Micromeritics AutoChem 2920 chemisorption analyzer. In a typical measurement, 100 mg of the catalyst was first pretreated in a flow of 20 vol % O₂/N₂ (50 mL/min) at 400 °C for 0.5 h and then cooled to the room temperature (30 °C) followed by Ar purging for 0.5 h. Then the temperature was linearly raised at 10 °C/min from 30 to 700 °C in a flow of 10 vol % H₂/Ar (30 mL/min). H₂ consumption was monitored by a thermal conductivity detector.

NH₃-TPD (temperature-programmed decomposition) together with NO-TPD was also conducted on a Micromeritics AutoChem 2920 chemisorption analyzer, equipped with a quadrupole mass spectrometer (MKS Cirrus) to monitor the signals of NH₃ (*m/z* 17) and NO (*m/z* 30). Prior to TPD experiments, the 100 mg samples were pretreated at 400 °C in a flow of 20 vol % O₂/N₂ for 0.5 h and cooled to room temperature. Then the samples were exposed to a flow of NH₃ or NO + O₂ for 1.0 h. Finally, the temperature was raised to 600 °C in an Ar flow at the rate of 10 °C/min.

The in situ diffuse-reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS) experiments were carried out on an FTIR spectrometer (Nicolet Nexus 670) equipped with a smart collector and an MCT/A detector cooled by liquid nitrogen. Prior to each experiment, the sample was pretreated at 400 °C for 0.5 h in 20 vol % O₂/N₂ and then cooled to 200 °C. The background spectrum was collected in flowing N₂ and automatically subtracted from the sample spectrum. The reaction conditions were as follows: 500 ppm of NH₃,

500 ppm of NO, 5 vol % O₂, N₂ balance, and 200 mL/min flow rate. All spectra were recorded by accumulating 100 scans with a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. NH₃-SCR Activity. Prior to investigation of the CeMo_aZr_bO_x catalyst, the effect of the Ce:Zr molar ratio on the SCR activity over the CeZrO_x series of catalysts was systematically investigated, and the results are illustrated in Figure S3 in the SI. It was found that the CeZr₂O_x catalyst, with a Ce:Zr molar ratio of 1:2, showed the best NO_x conversion. Therefore, in the later work, the Ce:Zr molar ratio was fixed at 1:2. Figure 1 shows the NO_x conversion and N₂ selectivity as a




Figure 1. NO_x conversion and N₂ selectivity (inserted) in the NH₃-SCR reaction as a function of the temperature over pure MoO₃ and CeMo_aZr₂O_x ($a = 0, 0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5$) catalysts. Reaction conditions: [NO] = [NH₃] = 500 ppm, [O₂] = 5 vol %, and GHSV = 50000 h⁻¹.

function of the temperature in the NH₃-SCR reaction over pure MoO₃ and CeMo_aZr₂O_x catalysts under a GHSV of 50000 h⁻¹. CeZr₂O_x exhibited a narrow operation temperature window, and the maximum NO_x conversion was only 90% at 350 °C. However, the addition of a small amount of Mo (Mo:Ce = 0.1:1) to CeZr₂O_x led to an obvious enhancement of the NO_x conversion, implying that the coexistence of Mo and CeZr₂O_x species was very important for promotion of the SCR activity. CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x with a molar ratio of Mo:Ce = 0.5:1 showed the best NH₃-SCR activity and the widest operation temperature window, over which 100% of NO_x conversion was obtained in a wide temperature range (250–400 °C). Further increasing the Mo:Ce molar ratios to 1:1 and 1.5:1 resulted in a decline of the NO_x conversion at both low and high temperatures, which was probably due to the decrease of active Ce sites on the catalyst surface. Similar results were also proposed by Shan et al.²⁴ and Li et al.²⁵ when investigating the CeO₂–WO₃ and CeO₂–MoO₃ catalysts. Pure MoO₃ showed negligible activity in the whole temperature range, indicating that the Mo species played the role of only the catalyst promoter rather than the active center for the NH₃-SCR reaction. Although the addition of MoO₃ to the Ce–Zr catalyst could decrease the N₂ selectivity slightly owing to the production of N₂O, the N₂ selectivity over CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x was still more than 80% below 400 °C. The NH₃-SCR results show that some synergistic effects possibly exist between Mo and Ce–Zr species, which will be discussed later in this work.

In addition, the catalytic activity over CeMo_{0.5}Zr_bO_x with various Zr:Ce molar ratios is presented in Figure S4 in the SI. It

was obvious that the NO_x conversions over CeMo_{0.5}Zr₁O_x and CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x were similar and higher than those over CeMo_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_x and CeMo_{0.5}Zr₄O_x below 300 °C. However, CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x showed better NH₃-SCR activity than CeMo_{0.5}Zr₁O_x above 350 °C. Therefore, in the following studies, CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x was chosen to investigate the “fast SCR” effect and SO₂/H₂O resistance together with the thermal durability of the Mo-containing catalyst.

It was clear that when NO₂ (NO₂:NO = 1:1) was introduced to the reaction gas, the NO_x conversion over CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x at low temperatures was greatly improved because of the “fast SCR” effect and the NO_x conversion was as high as 100% in a wide temperature range from 150 to 400 °C; see Figure S5 in the SI. Therefore, in practical applications, the diesel oxidation catalyst usually is placed in the upstream of the SCR catalyst to convert some NO to NO₂, which has a significant advantage on NO_x removal. To better evaluate the performance of the CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x catalyst, the NH₃-SCR activity over the commercial V₂O₅(1)–WO₃(10)/TiO₂ catalyst was also conducted and the results are shown in Figure S6 in the SI. NO_x conversion over the CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x catalyst was higher than that over V₂O₅(1)–WO₃(10)/TiO₂ even though the CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x sample exhibited a relatively low N₂ selectivity at 400 and 450 °C.

3.2. Effect of SO₂ and H₂O. The combustion exhaust often contains some SO₂ and H₂O vapor in the practical application, which may lead to deactivation of the SCR catalyst.²⁶ Therefore, it is important to investigate the effect of SO₂ and H₂O on the SCR activity. In this work, we studied the effect of 100 ppm of SO₂ and 5 vol % H₂O on the NO_x conversion over CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x at 250 °C under a GHSV of 50000 h⁻¹. As shown in Figure 2A, the introduction of 100 ppm of SO₂ into




Figure 2. Effect of SO₂ (A), H₂O (B), and SO₂ + H₂O (C) on the SCR activity over the CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x catalyst at 250 °C. Reaction conditions: [NO] = [NH₃] = 500 ppm, [O₂] = 5 vol %, [SO₂] = 100 ppm, [H₂O] = 5 vol %, and GHSV = 50000 h⁻¹.

the reaction atmosphere did not result in any decrease of the NO_x conversion for the first 14 h. With the time increasing, the NO_x conversion showed a slow decrease, which might be associated with the deposition of ammonium sulfate/bisulfate on the surface and blockage of the active sites. However, the conversion was still more than 90% during the measured period. The result in Figure 2B showed that when 5 vol % H₂O was added to the feed stream, the NO_x conversion dramatically decreased from the initial 100% to 40% in the first 2 h and then

recovered to 100%. It was believed that the deactivation effect of H₂O on the activity over catalysts required a long time to achieve steady state. Therefore, when H₂O vapor was first added into the reaction atmosphere, because of the competitive adsorption between H₂O and NH₃/NO over the catalyst, a large amount of H₂O was adsorbed on the active sites where the NH₃/NO adsorbed and activated, leading to a decline of the NO_x conversion. However, when the reaction reached steady state 2 h later, the desorption of excess H₂O adsorbed on the catalyst made it possible for adsorption and activation of NH₃/NO on the active sites, which was responsible for the increase of the NO_x conversion. As presented in Figure 2C, when both 100 ppm of SO₂ and 5 vol % H₂O were introduced, the NO_x conversion also showed a relatively significant decrease to 35% and then maintained nearly 50%; after the SO₂ and H₂O inlets were cut off, the activity was rapidly restored to 90%. In short summary, SO₂ or H₂O alone just showed a negligible influence on the SCR activity; the coexistence of SO₂ and H₂O decreased the conversion significantly, indicating that some synergistic inhibition effect between SO₂ and H₂O was present on the SCR activity; however, the effect was reversible to a certain extent. It was believed that when both SO₂ and H₂O were introduced to the reaction atmosphere, the deactivation effect might be not only related to the competitive adsorption between H₂O and NH₃/NO_x but also associated with the formation of ammonium sulfate together with high thermally stable Ce(SO₄)₂/Ce₂(SO₄)₃ on the active sites because of SO₂, which suggested that both H₂O and SO₂ could inhibit the adsorption and activation of NH₃/NO_x on the active sites, leading to an obvious decline of the NO_x conversion.

The reaction temperature had a great impact on the performance of the SCR catalyst. Therefore, the SO₂/H₂O resistance of the CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x catalyst was also conducted at 400 °C. As shown in Figure S7A in the SI, the NO_x conversion still remained 100% after the introduction of 100 ppm of SO₂ at 400 °C for 48 h, which was higher than that at 250 °C in Figure 2A. The results indicated that the SO₂ tolerance was improved as the reaction temperature increased from 250 to 400 °C. As presented in Figure S7B in the SI, 5% H₂O alone has an insignificant effect on the SCR activity over the CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x catalyst at 400 °C and NO_x conversion was almost 100%. The results in Figure S7C in the SI demonstrated that when both SO₂ and H₂O were introduced to the reaction gas, the NO_x conversion exhibited a trend similar to that in Figure 2C.

In addition, as shown in Figure S8 in the SI, 500 ppm of SO₂ was added to the reaction gas at 250 °C to evaluate the SO₂ resistance of the CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x catalyst. The results showed that the NO_x conversion was greatly declined from the initial 100% to 30%, which was greatly different from the effect of 100 ppm on the NO_x conversion in Figure 2B, indicating that a higher concentration of SO₂ would lead to a serious deactivation of the catalyst. However, in real applications, the concentration of SO₂ from diesel engine exhaust depends on the sulfur content of the diesel fuel. In recent years, SO₂ in diesel engine exhaust is only tens of parts per million, which is much lower than 500 ppm.

In order to further investigate the influence of SO₂/H₂O treatment on the CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x catalyst, the NH₃-SCR activity as a function of the reaction temperature of CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x catalysts treated in SO₂/H₂O for 48 h in Figure 2 was retested, and the results are shown in Figure 3. Prior to the activity measurements of CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x catalysts treated in SO₂/H₂O




Figure 3. NO_x conversion in the NH₃-SCR reaction as a function of the temperature over CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x catalysts after treatment in SO₂/H₂O for 48 h. Reaction conditions: [NO] = [NH₃] = 500 ppm, [O₂] = 5 vol %, and GHSV = 50000 h⁻¹.

for 48 h, the catalysts were calcined at 400 °C for 1 h to eliminate the ammonium sulfate deposited on the surface of the catalysts. Compared to the fresh CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x catalyst, the SCR activity of the catalysts treated in SO₂/H₂O showed a slight decrease between 150 and 250 °C. However, the NO_x conversion was still kept at more than 90% in the temperature range from 250 to 400 °C. Therefore, the CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x catalyst exhibits strong resistance to SO₂ and H₂O poisoning, which can be used to remove NO_x from diesel engine exhaust containing a certain amount of SO₂ and H₂O vapor.

3.3. Effect of GHSV. The NO_x conversion over CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x under different GHSVs is shown in Figure 4.




Figure 4. NO_x conversion in the NH₃-SCR reaction as a function of the temperature over the CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x catalyst under different GHSVs. Reaction conditions: [NO] = [NH₃] = 500 ppm and [O₂] = 5 vol %.

It can be seen that NO_x conversion below 250 °C decreased slightly when GHSV was increased from 50000 to 200000 h⁻¹. However, even at the highest GHSV of 200000 h⁻¹, NO_x conversion was still more than 90% in the temperature range from 250 to 400 °C. The effect of GHSV on the NO_x conversion at high temperature was weaker than that at low temperature. These results indicated that the CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x catalyst is highly effective for the removal of NO_x in a wide temperature range from 250 to 400 °C even when the reaction condition is harsh.

3.4. Thermal Stability Test. In practical use, the NH_3 -SCR catalyst should also have good thermal stability in the long-term deNO_x process. At the same time, to meet tougher emission standards of diesel engine exhaust, the diesel particulate filter (DPF) has to be used. The diesel exhaust gas temperature can reach above 700°C during the regeneration process of the DPF system.²⁷ Therefore, the $\text{CeMo}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ catalysts were calcined at different temperatures ($500, 550, 600, 650, 700,$ and 750°C) for 8 h to test the thermal stability, and the results are illustrated in Figure 5A. As the calcination temperature




Figure 5. NO_x conversion in the NH_3 -SCR reaction as a function of the temperature over $\text{CeMo}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ (A) and V_2O_5 - WO_3 / TiO_2 (B) catalysts calcined at different temperatures for 8 h. Reaction conditions: $[\text{NO}] = [\text{NH}_3] = 500$ ppm, $[\text{O}_2] = 5$ vol %, and $\text{GHSV} = 50000$ h^{-1} .

increased from 500 to 700°C , the NO_x conversion below 250°C showed a slight and monotonic decrease, while the conversion above 250°C was quite similar. Further increasing the calcination temperature to 750°C resulted in an obvious decrease of the NH_3 -SCR activity at both low and high temperatures, which might be caused by the decrease of the surface area and sintering of the active component. For comparison purposes, the SCR activity of commercial V_2O_5 - WO_3 / TiO_2 catalysts with various V_2O_5 contents calcined at 700 or 750°C was also studied. As shown in Figure 5B, these catalysts show poor activity in the whole temperature range, which might be due to the decrease of the surface area, the anatase-to-rutile transition of TiO_2 , and the loss of vanadia.¹⁵ Compared to the commercial V_2O_5 - WO_3 / TiO_2 catalyst, the $\text{CeMo}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ catalyst exhibits much better high-temperature stability.

Moreover, as shown in Figure S9 in the SI, the $\text{CeMo}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ catalyst hydrothermally treated at 700°C for 48 h still exhibited high NH_3 -SCR activity, over which more than 80% NO_x conversion was obtained between 300 and 400°C . Further increasing the aging temperature to 760°C would result in an obvious diminution of the SCR activity, indicating that $\text{CeMo}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ could only be used below 700°C . The hydrothermal stability of the $\text{CeMo}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ catalyst needs to be improved further in future studies.

3.5. N_2 Physisorption and XRD Results. The specific surface area and BJH desorption pore volume of MoO_3 , $\text{CeMo}_a\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$, and $\text{CeMo}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ calcined at different temperatures are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. As shown in

Table 1. BET Surface Area, Pore Volume, and CeO_2 Crystallite Size of MoO_3 and $\text{CeMo}_a\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$

catalyst	S_{BET}^a (m^2/g)	pore volume ^b (cm^3/g)	CeO_2 crystallite size ^c (nm)
MoO_3	1.4	0.006	
CeZr_2O_x	107.3	0.097	11.8
$\text{CeMo}_{0.1}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$	109.1	0.079	11.4
$\text{CeMo}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$	82.6	0.037	11.2
$\text{CeMo}_{1.0}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$	61.0	0.028	9.6
$\text{CeMo}_{1.5}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$	51.5	0.027	9.5

^aBET surface area. ^bBJH desorption pore volume. ^c CeO_2 crystallite size calculated by the Scherrer equation from the XRD results.

Table 2. BET Surface Area, Pore Volume, and CeO_2 Crystallite Size of $\text{CeMo}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ Calcined at Different Temperatures for 8 h

catalyst	S_{BET} (m^2/g)	pore volume (cm^3/g)	CeO_2 crystallite size (nm)
$\text{CeMo}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ - 500°C	77.8	0.038	12.1
$\text{CeMo}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ - 550°C	75.8	0.040	12.1
$\text{CeMo}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ - 600°C	71.3	0.058	14.8
$\text{CeMo}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ - 650°C	47.7	0.070	15.9
$\text{CeMo}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ - 700°C	33.0	0.070	21.2
$\text{CeMo}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ - 750°C	21.1	0.063	22.4

Table 1, the addition of a small amount of Mo ($\text{Mo}:\text{Ce} = 0.1:1$) to CeZr_2O_x showed a negligible effect on the surface area. However, when the molar ratio of $\text{Mo}:\text{Ce}$ was more than $0.5:1$, the surface area of the catalysts decreased obviously as the Mo loading increased because of the quite small surface area of MoO_3 . It was also reported that the addition of Mo could reduce the surface area of MoO_3 - CeO_2 catalysts, which were prepared by coprecipitation using urea as the precipitant.²⁸ Meanwhile, the doping of Mo into CeZr_2O_x decreased the pore volume.

Table 2 shows the surface area and pore volume of $\text{CeMo}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ calcined at different temperatures. It could be seen that increasing the calcination temperature from 500 to 750°C resulted in a decrease of the surface area from 77.8 to 21.1 m^2/g , while the pore volume increased gradually. The decrease of the surface area and the sintering of the active component at high calcination temperatures might be responsible for the decrease of the NO_x conversion at low temperatures.²⁹

The XRD results of the catalysts with different Mo loadings are summarized in Figure 6A. The reflections provided typical diffraction patterns for the CeO_2 cubic phase (JCPDS 34-0394) and the ZrO_2 tetragonal phase (JCPDS 50-1089). No diffraction peaks attributed to Mo species were detected in the XRD patterns, implying that Mo species were finely dispersed on the surface of the catalysts or existed as amorphous species. Furthermore, as shown in Table 1, the




Figure 6. Powder XRD of $\text{CeMo}_a\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ catalysts with different Mo loadings (A) and $\text{CeMo}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ catalysts calcined at different temperatures for 8 h (B).

average crystallite size of CeO_2 calculated by the Scherrer equation decreased slightly as the Mo content increased. As presented in Figure 6B, the XRD patterns of $\text{CeMo}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ samples calcined at various temperatures from 500 to 750 °C for 8 h showed typical diffraction peaks for the cubic CeO_2 and tetragonal ZrO_2 phases, and no diffraction peaks for Mo species were detected. With increasing calcination temperature, the intensities of all of the diffraction peaks increased slightly and the CeO_2 average crystallite size increased from 12.1 to 22.4 nm (Table 2). However, after high-temperature calcination, the positions of the diffraction peaks stayed the same, indicating that changes of the crystallite phases of CeO_2 and ZrO_2 did not occur in this process.

3.6. Raman Spectra. Visible Raman spectra were also applied to characterize the $\text{CeMo}_a\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ catalysts, and the results are presented in Figure 7. CeZr_2O_x showed an obvious band at 463 cm^{-1} and three weak peaks at about 630, 315, and




Figure 7. Visible Raman spectra of the $\text{CeMo}_a\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ catalysts.

270 cm^{-1} . The band at 463 cm^{-1} was due to the Raman-active F_{2g} mode of the CeO_2 fluorite structure.³⁰ The band at 630 cm^{-1} could be a consequence of the lattice contraction brought about by Zr ion insertion.⁹ The bands at 315 and 270 cm^{-1} could be assigned to the Zr phase ($t\text{-ZrO}_2$).^{31–33} With an increase of the Mo content, the Raman spectral intensity of CeO_2 decreased significantly, which proved that the introduction of Mo inhibited growth of the CeO_2 particle size.²⁵ These analytical results are in good accordance with the conclusion drawn from XRD results. In combination with the XRD and Raman results, it could be concluded that Mo species were mainly present in a highly dispersed state and the addition of Mo resulted in a decrease of the CeO_2 crystallite size. However, the CeO_2 crystallite size was not the only factor leading to the discrepancy of the catalytic activity between different catalysts.

3.7. H_2 -TPR. H_2 -TPR experiments were conducted to investigate the redox ability of the catalysts, and the results are shown in Figure 8. For the CeZr_2O_x catalyst, the reduction




Figure 8. H_2 -TPR profiles of the $\text{CeMo}_a\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ catalysts.

peak at about 510 °C was attributed to the reduction of surface Ce^{4+} to Ce^{3+} .^{25,34} After the introduction of Mo to CeZr_2O_x , the reduction peak of surface Ce^{4+} moved to lower temperatures, which indicated that the mobility of surface O was greatly improved because of the strong synergetic effect among Zr, Ce, and Mo species. It is believed that the synergetic effect gave rise to severe structural distortion and abundant O defects.^{16,35} The O defects facilitated O diffusion from the subsurface layers and might progressively proceed deeper into the bulk.^{36,37} All of the above features were beneficial for the SCR activity. In addition, it was also reported that the mobility of surface O on the CeMoAlO_x catalyst was enhanced by Mo addition.³⁸ $\text{CeMo}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ exhibited the lowest reduction temperature at 448 °C, which was in harmony with its highest SCR activity. However, upon a further increase of the Mo/Ce molar ratios from 0.5 to 1.5, the reduction peaks attributed to the overlap of Ce and Mo species shifted to higher temperatures, which might be caused by the coverage of Mo species. These results indicate that the stronger oxidation reduction ability of $\text{CeMo}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ benefits the excellent SCR reaction performance.

3.8. In Situ DRIFTS Studies. **3.8.1. NH_3 Adsorption.** In situ DRIFTS spectra of NH_3 adsorption at 200 °C were measured to examine the change of acidity on the catalysts after Mo addition, and the results are shown in Figure 9A. After exposure to NH_3 , the catalysts were covered by several kinds of NH_3 species. The bands at 1668 cm^{-1} and 1425, 1440, and 1414 cm^{-1} were assigned to symmetric and asymmetric bending




Figure 9. In situ DRIFTS of NH_3 adsorption (A) and $\text{NO} + \text{O}_2$ adsorption (B) at $200\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ on the $\text{CeMo}_x\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ series of catalysts.




Figure 10. In situ DRIFTS of $\text{NO} + \text{O}_2$ reacted with preadsorbed NH_3 species (A) and NH_3 reacted with preadsorbed NO_x species (B) at $200\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ on the $\text{CeMo}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ catalyst.

vibrations, respectively, of NH_4^+ species on Brønsted acid sites.^{5,22,39–41} The bands at 1605 and 1595 cm^{-1} and at 1232 and 1190 cm^{-1} were attributed to asymmetric and symmetric bending vibrations, respectively, of the N–H bonds in coordinated NH_3 linked to Lewis acid sites, respectively.^{42,43} The bands at 3354 and 3264 cm^{-1} ascribed to N–H stretching modes of coordinated NH_3 were also observed.²³ Some negative bands around 3700 cm^{-1} were also found that could be assigned to hydroxyl consumption due to interaction between the hydroxyl groups and NH_3 to form NH_4^+ .^{5,35}

Compared to CeZr_2O_x , the addition of Mo enhanced the band intensities of adsorbed NH_4^+ greatly. This meant that the introduction of Mo resulted in more Brønsted acid sites on the catalyst surface, and similar results were also found on $\text{CeO}_2\text{–MoO}_3$ catalysts in the published literature.^{25,28} For coordinated NH_3 bound to Lewis acid sites, the band intensity first had an obvious increase and then showed a decrease when the molar ratio of Mo:Ce was higher than 0.5:1. In addition, the $\text{NH}_3\text{–TPD}$ results indicated that the total amount of NH_3 adsorbed on $\text{CeMo}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ was higher than that on the CeZr_2O_x catalyst; see Figure S10A in the SI. The band intensity due to Lewis acid sites over $\text{CeMo}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ was the highest among the series of

catalysts, which was in good accordance with the results of the SCR activity. Although the intensity of adsorbed NH_4^+ over $\text{CeMo}_{1.0}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ was stronger than that over $\text{CeMo}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$, $\text{CeMo}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ exhibited higher band intensity attributed to coordinated NH_3 adsorption. It can be concluded that the Lewis acid sites over the $\text{CeMo}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ catalyst are responsible for its highest SCR activity. Peng et al. investigated the structure activity relationship of the $\text{MoO}_3\text{–CeO}_2$ catalyst for $\text{NH}_3\text{–SCR}$ of NO_x and found that the Lewis acid sites could be involved in the reaction even more rapidly than the Brønsted acid sites.²⁸

3.8.2. $\text{NO} + \text{O}_2$ Adsorption. Figure 9B presents the in situ DRIFTS results of NO_x adsorption at $200\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. After $\text{NO} + \text{O}_2$ adsorption and N_2 purge, several distinct bands attributed to monodentate nitrate (1506 and 1276 cm^{-1}), bidentate nitrate (1593 and 1568 cm^{-1}), and bridging nitrate (1615, 1620, 1241, and 1217 cm^{-1}) appeared.^{39,44–46} With increasing Mo addition content, the bands due to adsorbed nitrate species showed an obvious decrease in intensity, and only bridging nitrate species were observed on the $\text{CeMo}_{1.5}\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_x$ catalyst. It is concluded that the addition of Mo significantly limits the adsorption of nitrate species, which could also be confirmed by the results

drawn from NO-TPD in Figure S10B in the SI. It is believed that the introduction of MoO₃ resulted in the formation of more acid sites accompanied by the reduction of basic sites where nitrate adsorbed.²² The inhibitory effect of Mo on the adsorption of nitrate species has also been reported by other researchers on the MoO₃-CeO₂/TiO₂²² and CeMo_{0.5}AlO_x³⁸ catalysts for the NH₃-SCR process.

3.8.3. Reaction between NO + O₂ and Adsorbed NH₃ Species. To investigate the reactivity of adsorbed NH₃ species in the SCR reaction on the CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x catalyst, in situ DRIFTS of the reaction between preadsorbed NH₃ and NO + O₂ at 200 °C was recorded as a function of time (Figure 10A). After the introduction of NO + O₂, the bands ascribed to ionic NH₄⁺ (1668 and 1425 cm⁻¹) and coordinated NH₃ (3354, 3264, 1605, and 1232 cm⁻¹) showed an apparent decrease in intensity, and all of the bands were replaced by nitrate species after 5 min. This indicates that both coordinated NH₃ and ionic NH₄⁺ on the CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x catalyst could react as reducing agents to reduce NO_x. Although coordinated NH₃ over the CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x catalyst was responsible for its highest SCR activity, ionic NH₄⁺ could also be involved in the SCR reaction. It could be concluded that the addition of Mo to CeZr₂O_x resulted in more coordinated NH₃ and ionic NH₄⁺, both of which could participate in the NH₃-SCR reaction.

3.8.4. Reaction between NH₃ and Adsorbed NO_x Species. The reactivity of adsorbed NO_x species in the SCR reaction on the CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x catalyst was also investigated by the in situ DRIFTS of the reaction between preadsorbed NO_x and NH₃ at 200 °C, and the results are shown in Figure 10B. After exposure to NO + O₂, the catalyst was mainly covered by bidentate nitrate (1568 cm⁻¹) and bridging nitrate (1620 and 1217 cm⁻¹). When NH₃ was introduced, the bridging nitrate was greatly decreased and disappeared in 5 min, while the bidentate nitrate did not show an obvious decrease. At the same time, the bands attributed to ionic NH₄⁺ (1668 and 1425 cm⁻¹) and coordinated NH₃ (3354, 3264, 1605, and 1232 cm⁻¹) were observed after 5 min. The results indicate that bridging nitrate rather than bidentate nitrate can react with NH₃. Although the addition of Mo inhibited the adsorption of nitrate species on the surface, the reaction between adsorbed nitrate species and NH₃ still played a significant role in the reduction of NO_x. Judging from Figure 9B, the amount of nitrate species on CeMo_{0.1}Zr₂O_x was higher than that on other Mo-containing catalysts, indicating that CeMo_{0.1}Zr₂O_x should be an excellent catalyst for the SCR reaction. However, the lack of enough NH₃ species on the surface of CeMo_{0.1}Zr₂O_x (Figure 9A) lowered SCR conversion, as seen in Figure 1. The adsorption amounts of coordinated NH₃ and nitrate species on CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x were both higher than that on CeMo_{1.0}Zr₂O_x or CeMo_{1.5}Zr₂O_x. It is believed that the adsorption of NH₃ and NO_x was considered to be essential in the NH₃-SCR reaction at low temperatures. Liu et al. investigated the promoting effect of MoO₃ on the NH₃-SCR activity over the CeO₂/TiO₂ catalyst and believed that the unsaturated Mo resulted in more acid sites, which was favorable for the adsorption of NH₃, thus improving the low-temperature activity.²² Nitro and nitrate groups were beneficial to promote the SCR process at low temperatures over an F-doped CeO₂-TiO₂ catalyst.⁴⁷ In addition, the results in Figure 10 show that both adsorbed NH₃ species (coordinated NH₃, ionic NH₄⁺) and adsorbed bridging nitrate species were active in the NH₃-SCR action. Therefore, it was reasonable to conclude that the high

adsorption amounts of NH₃ and nitrate species were favorable for the high activity of the CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x catalyst.

4. CONCLUSIONS

A novel Mo-promoted Ce-Zr catalyst prepared by a homogeneous precipitation method was used for NH₃-SCR of NO_x. The CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x catalyst with a Mo:Ce molar ratio of 0.5:1 showed high SCR activity, SO₂/H₂O durability, and thermal stability under test conditions. Characterization results indicated that Mo addition inhibited growth of the CeO₂ particle size, improved the redox ability, and increased the amount of surface acidity, especially the Lewis acidity. Coordinated NH₃ and ionic NH₄⁺ species together with bridging nitrate were active over the CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x catalyst during the NH₃-SCR reaction. All of the features above are responsible for the excellent NH₃-SCR performance.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

📄 Supporting Information

pH value variation during the preparation, distribution of Zr, Ce, and Mo on CeMo_{0.5}Zr₂O_x catalyst, designed and actual bulk molar ratios, NO_x conversion and N₂ selectivity in NH₃-SCR reaction over V₂O₅-WO₃/TiO₂, effect of SO₂, H₂O, SO₂ + H₂O at 400 °C, and 500 ppm SO₂ at 250 °C on the SCR activity, and NH₃-TPD and NO-TPD results. The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acsami.5b00636.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: fudongliu@lbl.gov or lfd1982@gmail.com. Tel: +86 10 62849123. Fax: +86 10 62849123.

*E-mail: honghe@rcees.ac.cn. Tel: +86 10 62849123. Fax: +86 10 62849123.

Present Address

†F.L.: Materials Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, CA 94720.

Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant 51221892) and the Ministry of Science and Technology China (Grant 2013AA065301).

■ REFERENCES

- (1) Pavulescu, V. I.; Grange, P.; Delmon, B. Catalytic Removal of NO. *Catal. Today* **1998**, *46*, 233–316.
- (2) Koebel, M.; Elsener, M.; Kleemann, M. Urea-SCR: a Promising Technique to Reduce NO_x Emissions from Automotive Diesel Engines. *Catal. Today* **2000**, *59*, 335–345.
- (3) Brandenberger, S.; Kröcher, O.; Tissler, A.; Althoff, R. The State of the Art in Selective Catalytic Reduction of NO_x by Ammonia Using Metal-Exchanged Zeolite Catalysts. *Catal. Rev.* **2008**, *50*, 492–531.
- (4) Grossale, A.; Nova, I.; Tronconi, E. Ammonia Blocking of the “Fast SCR” Reactivity over a Commercial Fe-zeolite Catalyst for Diesel Exhaust Aftertreatment. *J. Catal.* **2009**, *265*, 141–147.
- (5) Liu, F. D.; He, H.; Ding, Y.; Zhang, C. B. Effect of Manganese Substitution on the Structure and Activity of Iron Titanate Catalyst for

the Selective Catalytic Reduction of NO with NH₃. *Appl. Catal., B* **2009**, *93*, 194–204.

(6) Paier, J.; Penschke, C.; Sauer, J. Oxygen Defects and Surface Chemistry of Ceria: Quantum Chemical Studies Compared to Experiment. *Chem. Rev.* **2013**, *113*, 3949–3985.

(7) Ma, Z. R.; Weng, D.; Wu, X. D.; Si, Z. C. Effects of WO_x Modification on the Activity, Adsorption and Redox Properties of CeO₂ Catalyst for NO_x Reduction with Ammonia. *J. Environ. Sci. (Beijing, China)* **2012**, *24*, 1305–1316.

(8) Zhang, D.; Du, X.; Shi, L.; Gao, R. Shape-Controlled Synthesis and Catalytic Application of Ceria Nanomaterials. *Dalton Trans.* **2012**, *41*, 14455–75.

(9) Shang, D. H.; Cai, W.; Zhao, W.; Bu, Y. F.; Zhong, Q. Catalytic Oxidation of NO to NO₂ over Co–Ce–Zr Solid Solutions: Enhanced Performance of Ce–Zr Solid Solution by Co. *Catal. Lett.* **2014**, *144*, 538–544.

(10) Hori, C. E.; Permana, H.; Ng, K. Y. S.; Brenner, A.; More, K.; Rahmoeller, K. M.; Belton, D. Thermal Stability of Oxygen Storage Properties in a Mixed CeO₂–ZrO₂ System. *Appl. Catal., B* **1998**, *16*, 105–117.

(11) Terribile, D.; Trovarelli, A.; Llorca, J.; de Leitenburg, C.; Dolcetti, G. The Preparation of High Surface Area CeO₂–ZrO₂ Mixed Oxides by a Surfactant-Assisted Approach. *Catal. Today* **1998**, *43*, 79–88.

(12) Si, Z.; Weng, D.; Wu, X.; Yang, J.; Wang, B. Modifications of CeO₂–ZrO₂ Solid Solutions by Nickel and Sulfate as Catalysts for NO Reduction with Ammonia in Excess O₂. *Catal. Commun.* **2010**, *11*, 1045–1048.

(13) Maitarad, P.; Han, J.; Zhang, D.; Shi, L.; Namuangruk, S.; Rungrotmongkol, T. Structure–Activity Relationships of NiO on CeO₂ Nanorods for the Selective Catalytic Reduction of NO with NH₃: Experimental and DFT Studies. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2014**, *118*, 9612–9620.

(14) Shen, B.; Wang, Y.; Wang, F.; Liu, T. The Effect of Ce–Zr on NH₃-SCR Activity over MnO_x(0.6)/Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ at Low Temperature. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2014**, *236*, 171–180.

(15) Li, Y.; Cheng, H.; Li, D.; Qin, Y.; Xie, Y.; Wang, S. WO₃/CeO₂–ZrO₂, a Promising Catalyst for Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) of NO_x with NH₃ in Diesel Exhaust. *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, 1470–1472.

(16) Cai, S.; Zhang, D.; Zhang, L.; Huang, L.; Li, H.; Gao, R.; Shi, L.; Zhang, J. Comparative study of 3D ordered macroporous Ce_{0.75}Zr_{0.25}M_{0.05}O_{2–δ} (M = Fe, Cu, Mn, Co) for selective catalytic reduction of NO with NH₃. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *4*, 93–101.

(17) Gao, R. H.; Zhang, D. S.; Maitarad, P.; Shi, L. Y.; Rungrotmongkol, T.; Li, H. R.; Zhang, J. P.; Cao, W. G. Morphology-Dependent Properties of MnO_x/ZrO₂–CeO₂ Nanostructures for the Selective Catalytic Reduction of NO with NH₃. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2013**, *117*, 10502–10511.

(18) Si, Z. C.; Weng, D.; Wu, X. D.; Ran, R.; Ma, Z. R. NH₃-SCR Activity, Hydrothermal Stability, Sulfur Resistance and Regeneration of Ce_{0.75}Zr_{0.25}O₂–PO₄^{3–} Catalyst. *Catal. Commun.* **2012**, *17*, 146–149.

(19) Busca, G.; Lietti, L.; Ramis, G.; Berti, F. Chemical and Mechanistic Aspects of the Selective Catalytic Reduction of NO_x by Ammonia over Oxide Catalysts: A Review. *Appl. Catal., B* **1998**, *18*, 1–36.

(20) Lietti, L.; Nova, I.; Forzatti, P. Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) of NO by NH₃ over TiO₂-supported V₂O₅–WO₃ and V₂O₅–MoO₃ Catalysts. *Top. Catal.* **2000**, *11*, 111–122.

(21) Maqbool, M. S.; Pullur, A. K.; Ha, H. P. Novel Sulfation Effect on Low-Temperature Activity Enhancement of CeO₂-Added Sb–V₂O₅/TiO₂ Catalyst for NH₃-SCR. *Appl. Catal., B* **2014**, *152*, 28–37.

(22) Liu, Z. M.; Zhang, S. X.; Li, J. H.; Ma, L. L. Promoting Effect of MoO₃ on the NO_x Reduction by NH₃ over CeO₂/TiO₂ Catalyst Studied with in situ DRIFTS. *Appl. Catal., B* **2014**, *144*, 90–95.

(23) Shan, W. P.; Liu, F. D.; He, H.; Shi, X. Y.; Zhang, C. B. A superior Ce–W–Ti Mixed Oxide Catalyst for the Selective Catalytic Reduction of NO_x with NH₃. *Appl. Catal., B* **2012**, *115*, 100–106.

(24) Shan, W.; Liu, F.; He, H.; Shi, X.; Zhang, C. Novel Cerium–Tungsten Mixed Oxide Catalyst for the Selective Catalytic Reduction of NO_x with NH₃. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 8046–8048.

(25) Li, X.; Li, Y. Selective Catalytic Reduction of NO with NH₃ over Ce–Mo–O_x Catalyst. *Catal. Lett.* **2013**, *144*, 165–171.

(26) Lee, K. J.; Kumar, P. A.; Maqbool, M. S.; Rao, K. N.; Song, K. H.; Ha, H. P. Ceria Added Sb–V₂O₅/TiO₂ Catalysts for Low Temperature NH₃-SCR: Physico-Chemical Properties and Catalytic Activity. *Appl. Catal., B* **2013**, *142*, 705–717.

(27) Wang, X. Q.; Shi, A. J.; Duan, Y. F.; Wang, J.; Shen, M. Q. Catalytic Performance and Hydrothermal Durability of CeO₂–V₂O₅–ZrO₂/WO₃–TiO₂ Based NH₃-SCR Catalysts. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *2*, 1386–1395.

(28) Peng, Y.; Qu, R.; Zhang, X.; Li, J. The Relationship between Structure and Activity of MoO₃–CeO₂ Catalysts for NO Removal: Influences of Acidity and Reducibility. *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 6215–6217.

(29) Liu, F. D.; He, H.; Lian, Z. H.; Shan, W. P.; Xie, L. J.; Asakura, K.; Yang, W. W.; Deng, H. Highly Dispersed Iron Vanadate Catalyst Supported on TiO₂ for the Selective Catalytic Reduction of NO_x with NH₃. *J. Catal.* **2013**, *307*, 340–351.

(30) Reddy, B. M.; Lakshmanan, P.; Khan, A. Investigation of Surface Structures of Dispersed V₂O₅ on CeO₂–SiO₂, CeO₂–TiO₂, and CeO₂–ZrO₂ Mixed Oxides by XRD, Raman, and XPS Techniques. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2004**, *108*, 16855–16863.

(31) Gao, S.; Chen, X.; Wang, H.; Mo, J.; Wu, Z.; Liu, Y.; Weng, X. Ceria Supported on Sulfated Zirconia as a Superacid Catalyst for Selective Catalytic Reduction of NO with NH₃. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2013**, *394*, 515–521.

(32) Letichevsky, S.; Tellez, C. A.; Avillez, R. R. d.; Silva, M. I. P. d.; Fraga, M. A.; Appel, L. G. Obtaining CeO₂–ZrO₂ mixed oxides by coprecipitation: role of preparation conditions. *Appl. Catal., B* **2005**, *58*, 203–210.

(33) Si, R. Urea-Based Hydrothermally Derived Homogeneous Nanostructured Ce_{1–x}Zr_xO₂ (x = 0–0.8) Solid Solutions: A Strong Correlation between Oxygen Storage Capacity and Lattice Strain. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2004**, *108*, 12481–12488.

(34) Peng, Y.; Li, J.; Chen, L.; Chen, J.; Han, J.; Zhang, H.; Han, W. Alkali Metal Poisoning of a CeO₂–WO₃ Catalyst used in the Selective Catalytic Reduction of NO_x with NH₃: An Experimental and Theoretical Study. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *46*, 2864–2869.

(35) Xu, H.; Wang, Y.; Cao, Y.; Fang, Z.; Lin, T.; Gong, M.; Chen, Y. Catalytic Performance of Acidic zirconium-based Composite Oxides Monolithic Catalyst on Selective Catalytic Reduction of NO_x with NH₃. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2014**, *240*, 62–73.

(36) Yu, J.; Si, Z. C.; Chen, L.; Wu, X. D.; Weng, D. Selective catalytic reduction of NO_x by ammonia over phosphate-containing Ce_{0.75}Zr_{0.25}O₂ solids. *Appl. Catal., B* **2015**, *163*, 223–232.

(37) Christou, S. Y.; Alvarez-Galvan, M. C.; Fierro, J. L. G.; Efsthathiou, A. M. Suppression of the oxygen storage and release kinetics in Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ induced by P, Ca and Zn chemical poisoning. *Appl. Catal., B* **2011**, *106*, 103–113.

(38) Li, X. L.; Li, Y. H. Molybdenum Modified CeAlO_x Catalyst for the Selective Catalytic Reduction of NO with NH₃. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2014**, *386*, 69–77.

(39) Wu, Z. B.; Jiang, B. Q.; Liu, Y.; Wang, H. Q.; Jin, R. B. DRIFT Study of Manganese/Titania-based Catalysts for Low-temperature Selective Catalytic Reduction of NO with NH₃. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2007**, *41*, 5812–5817.

(40) Topsoe, N. Y. Mechanism of the Selective Catalytic Reduction of Nitric Oxide by Ammonia Elucidated by in situ on-line Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy. *Science* **1994**, *265*, 1217–1219.

(41) Chen, L.; Li, J. H.; Ge, M. F.; Ma, L.; Chang, H. Z. Mechanism of Selective Catalytic Reduction of NO_x with NH₃ over CeO₂–WO₃ Catalysts. *Chin. J. Catal.* **2011**, *32*, 836–841.

(42) Jiang, B. Q.; Li, Z. G.; Lee, S. C. Mechanism Study of the Promotional Effect of O₂ on Low-Temperature SCR Reaction on Fe–Mn/TiO₂ by DRIFT. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2013**, *225*, 52–58.

(43) Chen, L.; Li, J.; Ge, M. DRIFT Study on Cerium–Tungsten/Titania Catalyst for Selective Catalytic Reduction of NO_x with NH₃. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *44*, 9590–9596.

(44) Liu, F. D.; Asakura, K.; He, H.; Liu, Y. C.; Shan, W. P.; Shi, X. Y.; Zhang, C. B. Influence of Calcination Temperature on Iron Titanate catalyst for the Selective Catalytic Reduction of NO_x with NH₃. *Catal. Today* **2011**, *164*, 520–527.

(45) Liu, F. D.; Shan, W. P.; Lian, Z. H.; Xie, L. J.; Yang, W. W.; He, H. Novel MnWO_x Catalyst with Remarkable Performance for Low Temperature NH₃-SCR of NO_x. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, *3*, 2699–2707.

(46) Tsyntsarski, B.; Avreyska, V.; Kolev, H.; Marinova, T.; Klissurski, D.; Hadjiivanov, K. FT-IR Study of the Nature and Reactivity of Surface NO_x Compounds formed after NO Adsorption and NO + O₂ Coadsorption on Zirconia- and Sulfated Zirconia-supported Cobalt. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2003**, *193*, 139–149.

(47) Zhang, R.; Zhong, Q.; Zhao, W.; Yu, L.; Qu, H. Promotional effect of fluorine on the selective catalytic reduction of NO with NH₃ over CeO₂–TiO₂ catalyst at low temperature. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2014**, *289*, 237–244.